LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Open Access



Schema modes in eating disorders compared to a community sample

Daniel Talbot^{1†}, Evelyn Smith^{1*†}, Alethea Tomkins¹, Robert Brockman³ and Susan Simpson²

Abstract

Background: The aim of this study was to examine the association between eating disorders (ED) and schema modes, and identify which specific schema modes are associated with particular eating disorders, including anorexia nervosa (AN), bulimia nervosa (BN) and other specified feeding or eating disorder (OSFED).

Methods: A total of forty seven women with eating disorders and 89 women from the community participated in this study. Eating disorder diagnosis was determined by a clinician treating the eating disorder and was confirmed on the basis of Body Mass Index (BMI) and the Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire (EDE-Q). The Schema Mode Inventory (SMI) was used to explore the association between schema modes and eating disorder diagnostic status.

Results: A series *t*-tests revealed that when compared to the community sample, the ED group scored significantly higher on 10 out of 12 maladaptive schema modes, and significantly lower on both adaptive schema modes. A series of planned contrasts revealed that the AN, BN, and OSFED groups each scored significantly higher than the community sample group in the majority of maladaptive schema modes, with slight variations between groups. Further, AN, BN, and OSFED groups each scored significantly lower than the community sample group for the two SMI scores categorized as adaptive. All Cohen's d that reached significance ranged 0.55-2.24.

Conclusions: The current study shows a tendency for females with eating disorders to rely on maladaptive schema modes more frequently, and more adaptive schema modes less frequently compared to a community sample. These findings provide initial empirical support for a schema mode model of eating disorders.

Keywords: Schema mode therapy, Eating disorders, Schema mode inventory, Eating disorder examination questionnaire, Female, Australia

Schema modes in eating disorders compared to a community sample

Currently, the treatment of choice for adults with eating disorders (EDs) is cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT). However, many individuals with EDs do not benefit from CBT [1–8] and there is thus an urgency to investigate new treatment models. Because 69 % of ED sufferers may meet diagnostic criteria for a personality disorder, there is a need for a treatment model that specifically speaks to the role of early experiences in the development of "core" schema-level beliefs, as well as the coping mechanisms that maintain these underlying structures. Schema therapy

is one such treatment model that addresses rigid schema beliefs [9]. Schema theory asserts that every individual has universal core emotional needs. If these needs are not adequately met, it can result in long-standing patterns of maladaptive thinking, feeling, behaving and coping [9]. These themes or patterns of thinking effectively act as crucial maintenance factors in ED.

Schema-mode therapy seeks to address these universal core emotional needs by strengthening adaptive schema modes and weakening maladaptive schema modes, [9] and has been found to be an effective treatment for a variety of mental health and personality difficulties [10, 11]. Schema modes can be clustered into four categories: (1) innate child modes that can become maladaptive as a result of significant unmet core childhood needs, (2) maladaptive coping

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



^{*} Correspondence: evelyn.smith@westernsydney.edu.au

[†]Equal contributors

¹Clinical and Health Psychology Research Initiative (CaHPRI), School of Social Sciences and Psychology, Western Sydney University, Locked Bag 1797, Penrith South DC, NSW 2751, Australia

modes, (3) maladaptive (internalised) parent modes, and (4) adaptive modes.

Evidence suggests that maladaptive schemas are more strongly held by individuals with anorexia nervosa (AN) and bulimia nervosa (BN) compared to healthy controls [12], and there is some evidence of schema-mode therapy being effective for EDs [13]. One study suggests that individuals with EDs display a greater prevalence of maladaptive schema modes compared to individuals without EDs, and different pattern of modes compared to obsessive compulsive disorder [14].

The current study aimed to provide further evidence for the association between schema modes and EDs. It was hypothesised, in line with previous findings [14], that individuals with EDs would score significantly higher on maladaptive schema modes, and lower on adaptive schema modes, compared to a community sample, as measured by the Schema Mode Inventory (SMI). This study also sought to explore the differences in schema modes amongst individuals with AN, BN, and other specified feeding or eating disorder (OSFED) compared to a community sample.

Method

Participants

Forty seven female participants with EDs were recruited via ED specialists. Diagnoses were based on clinical definitions of AN, BN, binge eating disorder (BED) and OSFED as defined in the DSM-5 [15]. Of the 47 participants, 17 met the criteria for AN, 14 for BN, 3 for BED, and 13 for OSFED. Since there were only 3 participants with BED, BED and OSFED participants were united into one category. Diagnosis was first performed by a clinical psychologist and then by a research assistant using the EDE-Q. The AN group had a mean BMI of 16.75 (SD = 1.03), the BN group had a mean BMI of 16.75 (SD = 1.03), and the OSFED group had a mean BMI of 16.75 (SD = 1.03). Participants with EDs were between 18 and 100 years old.

The community sample consisted of 89 non-clinical females recruited through the use of social media platforms and the first year psychology student pool at Western Sydney University. The age range of this sample was between 18 and 45 years old and none had symptoms indicating a potential eating disorder.

Measures

Participants were requested to complete the Schema Mode Inventory (SMI) and the Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire (EDE-Q).

Schema mode inventory

The SMI [16] is a self-report questionnaire that assesses the presence of 14 schema modes experienced by

participants. For this sample, the SMI demonstrated an internal validity of α = .79 for the ED group, and α = .82 for the community sample.

Eating disorder examination - questionnaire

EDE-Q [17] is a self-report questionnaire assessing four subscales: restraint, eating concern, shape concern, weight concern, and a global EDE-Q score, in addition to diagnostic measures of binge eating and purging. For this sample, the global EDE-Q demonstrated α = .95 for the ED group, and α = .93 for the community sample.

Statistical analysis

Fourteen *t*-tests were used to compare SMI schema mode scores of the ED group with those of the community sample. A further five *t*-tests were used to compare the EDE-Q scores of the ED group and the community sample. To examine the effect size, Cohen's d was calculated. Significance level was set to .05.

Additionally, planned contrasts within a series of one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) were used to compare the fourteen SMI schema mode scores for the three types of diagnosis group and the community sample group. Bonferroni adjustments of .0167 were performed (*p* value of 0.05 was divided by 3 as there were 3 comparisons) to control for multiple comparisons.

Results

ED group and community sample on EDE-Q and SMI

The ED group scored significantly higher than the community sample on all four indexes of the EDE-Q, and the EDE-Q Global score. Additional t-tests showed that the ED group scored significantly higher than the community sample on 10 out of 12 (83 %) SMI schema modes classified as maladaptive, and significantly lower than the community sample on both of the SMI schema modes classified as adaptive. Means, standard deviations, t-values, and p-values obtained are presented in Table 1. Notably, the ED group showed no significant difference compared to the community sample on modes 'Self-Aggrandiser' and 'Bully and Attack'. Large effect sizes were observed for modes 'Vulnerable Child', 'Compliant Surrender', 'Detached Protector', Detached Soother, 'Punitive Parent,' 'Demanding Parent' and 'Healthy Adult'.

The results of all planned contrasts are presented in Table 1. All Cohen's d that reached significance ranged 0.55-2.24.

Discussion

This study aimed to examine whether EDs have higher schema modes compared to a community sample, and to explore the relations between AN, BN, OSFED, and

Table 1 Means, Standard Deviation and results for the comparisons between AN, BN, OSFED, and Community Sample groups on SMI and EDE-Q, and all EDs compared to the Community group

	Community sample $n = 89$	ED group $n = 47$		$\frac{AN}{n=17}$		$\frac{BN}{n=14}$		$\frac{\text{OSFED}}{n=16}$	
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	t-value	Mean (SD)	t-value	Mean (SD)	t-value	Mean (SD)	t-value
SMI									
Vulnerable Child	2.15 (0.73)	3.91 (1.16)	10.944**	4.02 (1.15)	8.086***	4.17(1.05)	8.012***	3.78 (1.16)	6.837***
Angry Child	2.29 (0.83)	2.91 (0.91)	4.569***	2.98 (1.22)	2.255	3.11 (0.84)	3.459**	2.73 (0.62)	2.582
Enraged Child	1.41 (0.48)	1.80 (0.86)	3.436**	2.04 (1.20)	2.135	1.70 (0.59)	1.717	1.69 (0.66)	1.606
Impulsive Child	2.30 (0.68)	2.70 (1.02)	2.777*	2.64 (1.15)	1.202	3.28 (0.96)	3.678**	2.28 (0.76)	086
Undisciplined Child	2.64 (0.83)	3.17 (0.88)	3.868***	3.24 (1.03)	2.885*	3.33 (0.84)	3.023**	3.02 (0.77)	1.759
Happy Child	4.25 (0.70)	2.78 (0.93)	-10.420***	2.46 (0.87)	-8.958***	2.69 (0.84)	-7.190***	3.02 (0.89)	-6.008***
Compliant Surrender	2.86 (0.66)	3.77 (0.97)	6.478***	4.05 (1.13)	4.177**	3.50 (0.75)	2.992*	3.83 (0.93)	3.967**
Detached Protector	1.93 (0.64)	3.46 (1.04)	10.602***	3.64 (1.06)	6.430***	3.67 (0.93)	6.724***	3.20 (1.02)	4.815***
Detached Soother	2.69 (1.01)	4.41 (0.92)	10.317***	4.28 (1.00)	6.097***	4.40 (0.99)	6.012***	4.53 (0.86)	6.882***
Self-Aggrandiser	2.65 (0.65)	2.79 (0.77)	1.190	2.76 (0.95)	0.461	2.90 (0.52)	1.635	2.73 (0.81)	0.384
Bully and Attack	1.98 (0.51)	1.95 (0.62)	321	1.91 (0.69)	490	2.20 (0.69)	1.348	1.77 (0.46)	-1.408
Punitive Parent	1.91 (0.62)	3.92 (1.14)	13.417***	4.09 (1.19)	7.382***	3.80 (1.00)	6.874***	4.01 (1.23)	6.637***
Demanding Parent	3.60 (0.82)	4.45 (0.86)	5.978***	4.56 (0.60)	4.340***	4.28 (0.73)	2.837*	4.44 (1.20)	3.699***
Healthy Adult	4.45 (0.65)	3.46 (0.82)	-7.715***	3.19 (0.87)	-6.828***	3.50 (0.70)	-4.728***	3.54 (0.74)	-4.830***
EDE-Q									
Dietary Restraint	2.79 (1.61)	3.71 (1.72)	3.097**						
Eating Concern	1.87 (1.02)	3.73 (1.65)	8.094***						
Shape Concern	3.43 (1.63)	4.74 (1.39)	4.646***						
Weight Concern	3.09 (1.57)	4.55 (1.55)	5.176***						
EDE-Q Global	2.80 (1.28)	4.18 (1.41)	5.779***						

Note: ED eating disorder, AN anorexia nervosa, BN bulimia nervosa, OSFED other specified feeding or eating disorder *p < .05. **p < .01. ***p < .001

individual schema modes, each compared to a community sample.

As hypothesised, the results indicated that individuals with EDs scored significantly higher on 10 out of the 12 maladaptive modes compared to a community sample. Modes 'Self-Aggrandiser' and 'Bully and Attack', which centre on feeling superior and intimidating others, respectively [18], were not significantly different between groups, suggesting the possibility that this group of modes represent less-prominent mechanisms in the pathology of EDs. Perhaps superiority and intimidation of others are atypical of ED sufferers, thus rendering these modes less relevant to the ED group. Further, the ED group scored significantly lower on both schema modes identified as adaptive, including modes 'Happy Child' and 'Healthy Adult'. Outcomes are comparable to that of Voderholzer et al. [14].

Similar patterns of modes were found for each ED, with AN, BN, and OSFED groups proving to be near identical in their modal associations. The BN group displayed a unique significant difference from the

community sample on schema modes 'Angry Child' and 'Impulsive Child'. This association was remarkably absent in both the AN and OSFED groups. Results suggest that impulsiveness, loss of control, and anger may characterise BN, but not AN or OSFED, signifying a unique schema mode profile for BN. These results are comparable to previous research that suggests an association between BN, anger and impulsivity [19, 20], and previous findings that give evidence for higher impulsivity scores amongst BN patients compared to AN patients [21]. The lack of association between the AN group and 'Angry Child' was unexpected as prior research has demonstrated a link between anger and AN [22]. The OSFED group displayed an additional absence of association with 'Undisciplined Child'.

These numerous associations, combined with early success in clinical trials [13, 23, 24], highlight the notion that a mode-focused approach to schema therapy could be beneficial to individuals with EDs. Findings could also be used to further develop a mode-focused model of eating pathology that could potentially be akin to the

mode-focused model of personality disorder proposed by Young [9, 24].

Limitations of this study include the use of a small sample size and the lack of a BED group. There are also limitations relating to the SMI in that it was designed for personality disorders, which may not highlight some of the coping modes present in ED patients. We are in the process of developing a schema mode inventory for EDs.

Conclusions

Despite some limitations the current study showed a tendency for females with EDs to rely on maladaptive schema modes more frequently, and adaptive schema modes less frequently compared to a community sample. When comparing particular EDs (AN, BN, and OSFED groups) to a community sample, results were generally comparable. These conclusions provide preliminary support for mode-focused schema therapy in the treatment of individuals with EDs.

Abbreviations

AN: Anorexia nervosa; BN: Bulimia nervosa; ED: Eating disorder; EDE-Q: Eating disorder examination questionnaire; OSFED: Otherwise specified feeding or eating disorder; SMI: Schema mode inventory.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

All authors participated in the design of the study. Susan Simpson, Robert Brockman and Alethea Tompkins conducted data collection. Evelyn Smith and Daniel Talbot performed the statistical analyses and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Clinical and Health Psychology Research Initiative (CaHPRI), School of Social Sciences and Psychology, Western Sydney University, Locked Bag 1797, Penrith South DC, NSW 2751, Australia. ²School of Psychology, Social Work and Social Policy, University of South Australia, Adelaide, NSW, Australia. ³Graduate School of Health, University of Technology Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia.

Received: 10 November 2015 Accepted: 15 November 2015 Published online: 19 November 2015

References

- Fairburn CG, Harrisson PJ. Eating disorders. Lancet. 2003;361:407–16. role of core beliefs. Behaviour Research Therapy. 2000;38:145–156.
- Poulsen S, Lunn S, Daniel SI, Folke S, Mathiesen BB, Katznelson H, et al. A randomized controlled trial of psychoanalytic psychotherapy or cognitivebehavioral therapy for bulimia nervosa. FOCUS. 2014.
- Wonderlich SA, Peterson CB, Crosby RD, Smith TL, Klein MH, Mitchell JE, et al. A randomized controlled comparison of integrative cognitive-affective therapy (ICAT) and enhanced cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT-E) for bulimia nervosa. Psychol Med. 2014;44:543–53.
- Agras WS, Walsh BT, Fairburn CG, Wilson GT, Kraemer HC. A multicentre comparison of cognitive-behavioral therapy and interpersonal psychotherapy for bulimia nervosa. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2000;57:459–66.
- Fairburn CG, Norman PA, Welch SL, O'Connor ME, Doll HA, Peveler RC. A prospective study of outcome in bulimia nervosa and the long-term effects of three psychological treatments. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1995;52:304–12.
- Brownley KA, Berkman ND, Sedway JA, Lohr KN, Bulik CM. Binge eating disorder treatment: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Int J Eat Disorders. 2007;40:337–48.

- McIntosh VWW, Jordan J, Carter FA, Luty SE, McKenzie JM, Bulik CM, et al. Three psychological therapies for anorexia nervosa: a randomized, controlled trial. Am J Psychiatr. 2005;162:741–7.
- Zipfel S, Wild B, Groß G, Friederich HC, Teufel M, Schellberg D, et al. Focal psychodynamic therapy, cognitive behaviour therapy, and optimised treatment as usual in outpatients with anorexia nervosa (ANTOP study): randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2014;383:127–37.
- Young JE, Klosko JS, Weishaar ME. Schema therapy: a practitioner's guide. Guilford Press. 2003.
- Masley SA, Gillanders DT, Simpson SG, Taylor MA. A systematic review of the evidence base for schema therapy. Cogn Behav Ther. 2012;41:185–202.
- 11. Jacob G, Arntz A. Schema therapy for personality disorders a review. Int J Cognitive Ther. 2013;6:171–85.
- Leung N, Waller G, Thomas G. Outcome of group cognitive-behaviour therapy for bulimia nervosa: the role of core beliefs. Behav Res Ther. 2000; 38:145–56.
- Simpson S, Morrow E, Van Vreeswijk M, Reid C. Group schema therapy for eating disorders: a pilot study. Front Psychol Clin Settings. 2010;1:182.
- Voderholzer U, Schwartz C, Thiel N, Kuelz AK, Hartmann A, Scheidt CE, et al. A comparison of schemas, schema modes and childhood traumas in obsessive-compulsive disorder, chronic pain disorder and eating disorders. Psychopathology. 2014;47:24–31.
- American Psychiatric Association. DSM-IV-TR, diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (text revision). Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 2000.
- Young JE, Arntz A, Atkinson T, Lobbestael J, Weishaar ME, Van Vreeswijk MF, et al. The schema mode inventory. New York: Schema Therapy Institute; 2007.
- Fairburn CG, Beglin SJ. Assessment of eating disorders: interview or self-report questionnaire? Int J Eat Disorders. 1994;16:363–70. doi:10.1002/1098-108X.
- Bernstein DP, Arntz A, Vos MD. Schema focused therapy in forensic settings: theoretical model and recommendations for best clinical practice. Int J Forensic Ment Health. 2007;6:169–83.
- Engel SG, Boseck JJ, Crosby RD, Wonderlich SA, Mitchell JE, Smyth J. The relationship of momentary anger and impulsivity to bulimic behavior. Behav Res Ther. 2007;45:437–47.
- Fischer S, Smith GT, Cyders MA. Another look at impulsivity: a meta-analytic review comparing specific dispositions to rash action in their relationship to bulimic symptoms. Clin Psychol Rev. 2008;28:1413–25.
- 21. Fahy T, Eisler I. Impulsivity and eating disorders. Br J Psychiatry. 1993;162:193–7.
- Truglia E, Mannucci E, Lassi S, Rotella CM, Faravelli C, Ricca V. Aggressiveness, anger and eating disorders: a review. Psychopathology. 2006:39:55–68.
- McIntosh V, Jordan J, Carter J, Joyce P. Enhancing treatment for binge eating: a randomized controlled trial of CBT, schema therapy, and appetite-focused CBT. Texas: International Conference on Eating Disorders (ICED); 2012.
- McGinn LK, Young JE. Schema-focused therapy. In: Salkovskis PM, editor. Frontiers of cognitive therapy. New York: Guilford; 1996. p. 182–207.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and we will help you at every step:

- We accept pre-submission inquiries
- Our selector tool helps you to find the most relevant journal
- We provide round the clock customer support
- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- Inclusion in PubMed and all major indexing services
- Maximum visibility for your research

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit

