

RESEARCH

Open Access



# Psychometric properties of an Arabic translation of the body appreciation scale (BAS-2) and its short forms (BAS-2SF) in a community sample of Lebanese adults

Feten Fekih-Romdhane<sup>1,2</sup>, Vanessa Azzi<sup>3</sup>, Diana Malaeb<sup>4</sup>, Abir Sarray El Dine<sup>5</sup>, Sahar Obeid<sup>6\*</sup> and Souheil Hallit<sup>3,7,8,9\*</sup>

## Abstract

**Objectives** The present study sought to examine the factor structure, reliability, validity and gender invariance of the Arabic Body Appreciation Scale (BAS-2), and its two short forms (the 3-item and 2-item BAS-2SF) among community Lebanese Arabic-speaking adults.

**Methods** We carried-out an online cross-sectional survey. The 10-item BAS-2, the functionality appreciation scale and the Eating Attitudes Test-26 were completed by 826 Lebanese community adults (57.9% females, aged  $25.42 \pm 8.44$  years).

**Results** The Arabic 10-item, 3-item and 2-item BAS-2 converged on a one-factor solution, and demonstrated high internal consistency (McDonald's  $\omega$  value of .92, .88, and .86 respectively). All indices suggested that configural, metric, and scalar invariance was supported across gender. The 3-item and 2-item BAS-2SF were highly correlated with the original BAS-2 ( $r > 0.9$ ). Higher 10-item, 3-item and 2-item BAS-2 scores correlated significantly and strongly with more positive eating attitudes and greater functionality appreciation, and higher 10-item BAS-2 scores correlated positively and weakly with BMI, supporting convergent validity.

**Conclusion** The present work contributes to the literature by providing a psychometrically sound Arabic-translation of the BAS-2 and short-forms, benefitting both researchers and clinicians.

**Keywords** BAS-2, BAS-2SF, Body appreciation, Psychometric properties, Arabic

## Plain English Summary

Research on body image traditionally has focused on describing and predicting negative body image such as body dissatisfaction, body shame, and body preoccupation, with less focus on identifying, predicting, and promoting adaptive body attitude. Recently, however, the study of positive body image has gained considerable motion, and body

Sahar Obeid and Souheil Hallit are last coauthors.

\*Correspondence:

Sahar Obeid  
saharobeid23@hotmail.com  
Souheil Hallit  
souheilhallit@hotmail.com

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

appreciation has been the central organizing variable within these investigations. Body appreciation has been defined as accepting, holding favourable opinions toward, and respecting the body, while also rejecting media-promoted appearance ideals as the only form of human beauty. The Body Appreciation Scale has been utilized by researchers to understand features, correlates, and potential outcomes of positive body image. This scale and its short forms are not yet validated in Arabic. To that end, we aimed to translate and validate the Arabic version of the Body Appreciation Scale (BAS-2), and its two short forms (the 3-item and 2-item BAS-2SF) in the present study, which would in turn facilitate improved research and clinical practices related to body appreciation in Arabic-speaking nations. The present findings provide support for the psychometric properties of the Body Appreciation scales in Arabic-speaking adults in Lebanon.

## Introduction

Body image can be defined as individual's perceptions, feelings, and thoughts about their own physical appearance [1], and encompasses both negative (maladaptive) and positive (adaptive) experiences. While research has traditionally focused on negative body image (e.g., body dissatisfaction, body preoccupation, body shame) [2–4], recent studies have increasingly uncovered the protective and adaptive role of positive aspects of body image in a range of physical and psychological health indicators [5–8]. One key positive body image construct that has received considerable attention is body appreciation, which refers to “accepting, holding favourable opinions toward, and respecting the body, while also rejecting media-promoted appearance ideals as the only form of human beauty” ([8], p. 53). Beyond gratitude toward the body, this concept includes acceptance of features, health, and functions of the body [9]. When conceptualizing the construct, Avalos, Tylka, and Wood-Barcalow [2] initially developed the Body Appreciation Scale (BAS), a 13-item one-factor measure that further supported body appreciation as a distinct and useful construct in the body image field [8]. Later, some shortcomings of the BAS have been identified such as an unsupported unidimensionality [10] or some item phrasing problems (e.g., items containing terms rather related to a negative body evaluation, referring to an ignorance of the appearance, or being gender-specific); which motivated its revision by Tylka and Wood-Barcalow [11] to a new 10-item version (BAS-2).

Since its original validation in 2015, the BAS-2 has shown its strong psychometric quality within various population-based samples of varying characteristics, including adolescents [12–14], students [15, 16], adults [17, 18], older adults [19], and sexual minorities [20]. The BAS-2 has also been validated in several languages and countries around the world, including Greek [21], Dutch [16], Polish [22], Portuguese [12], Mexican [14], Spanish [23, 24], French [15], Swedish [25], Romanian [26], Chinese [27, 28], Japanese [29], Malay [30], Persian [31], Lithuanian [32], and Arabic [33]. The examination of the

BAS-2 psychometric properties in these diverse countries consistently showed a one-dimensional structure, good internal consistency and validity [10], as well as its invariance across gender and country [18]. More recently, on the basis of the questionnaire BAS-2, its short forms (BAS-2SF) consisting of 2 items and 3 items were developed [34]. One item is shared between the two short versions (i.e., “I feel love for my body”), while the rest of the items are different. These versions yielded good psychometric properties, and were therefore recommended by authors for use instead of the full-length scale [34].

## The Arab context

Positive body image concepts, including behavioural expressions toward the body, have been suggested to depend on cultural norms and values, and therefore to express differently across societies, cultures and religions [35–38]. For this reason, Tiggemann [36] encouraged researchers to further investigate the BAS-2 factorial validity in different cultural contexts. The particular context that we are interested in here is the Arab background, which has its unique historical and cultural patterns. Indeed, throughout history, and to some extent currently, Arab people have often had views of body proportions ideals and beauty definitions that diverge from Western body standards [39, 40]. Conversely, research also found that the younger generations tended to become increasingly preoccupied with Western ideal standards of body size and shape as a consequence of modernization and Westernization in the Arab societies [41]. However, very limited research paid specific attention to positive body image concerns in the Arabic-speaking communities and contexts. A recent literature review by Melisse et al. [41] could only identify a few studies investigating body dissatisfaction (e.g. [42–44]), while no studies have been reported on body appreciation or other positive body image constructs in the Arab world. Research on positive body image in the Arab context is likely still hampered by a lack of psychometrically valid assessment measures [41]. It has been only recently that

**Table 1** Sociodemographic characteristics of the participants

Variable	Total sample (N = 826)	Sample 1 (N = 411)	Sample 2 (N = 415)
<i>Sex</i>			
Male	348 (42.1%)	182 (44.3%)	166 (40.0%)
Female	478 (57.9%)	229 (55.7%)	249 (60.0%)
<i>Education</i>			
Primary	24 (2.9%)	14 (3.4%)	10 (2.4%)
Complementary	48 (5.8%)	30 (7.3%)	18 (4.3%)
Secondary	123 (14.9%)	63 (15.3%)	60 (14.5%)
University	631 (76.4%)	304 (74.0%)	327 (78.8%)
<b>Mean ± SD</b>			
Age (in years)	25.42 ± 8.44 (min = 18; max = 75)	25.86 ± 9.18 (min = 18; max = 75)	24.97 ± 7.63 (min = 18; max = 64)
Body Mass Index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	23.81 ± 4.83 (min = 10.20; max = 44.98)	23.82 ± 5.00 (min = 10.20; max = 43.03)	23.80 ± 4.67 (min = 13.84; max = 44.98)

an Arabic version of the BAS-2 has been made available [33]. This Arabic version originated in a relatively small sample of Emiratis female students, demonstrated one-dimensional factor structure, as well as adequate internal consistency and convergent validity [33].

### The present study

In this paper, we propose to test the psychometric properties of an Arabic translation of the BAS-2 and its short forms (the 2-item BAS-2SF and the 3-item BAS-2SF) in a sample of community adults within an Arabic-speaking context, Lebanon. Even though an Arabic version of the scale exists, its validation was limited in certain ways. First, authors relied on a college student sample, which implies that findings may not be generalizable to the wider community. Second, only women have been involved in this validation study, which did not allow for comparisons across genders and for gender invariance to be ascertained. This represents a major gap, given that invariance across women and men of BAS-2 scores yielded mixed findings in previous validations across countries (fully supported in some studies [11, 23, 45], whereas not supported in others [26]). For these reasons, we believe it is useful to extend the validation of the Arabic BAS-2 to include a diverse sample of Arabic-speaking community adult men and women with large variability in demographic features (such as age and educational level). Third, the Arabic version available of the BAS-2 has been validated in the United Arab Emirates, a Gulf Muslim politically and economically stable country. However, while Arab countries share similar social, religious and cultural characteristics [46, 47], diversities do also exist. Therefore, we suggest that the validation of the BAS-2 in an additional Arab country of different

religious, cultural, and economic background (i.e., Lebanon; a non-Gulf multireligious and politically/economically unstable country) will enhance its cross-cultural validity. Fourth, providing Arabic psychometrically sound short forms of the BAS-2 can have substantial advantages as they require less time to complete with reduced respondent burden and costs for data collection; which would be of great value in the low- middle income Arab countries.

To this end, we aimed through the present study to examine the factor structure, reliability, validity and gender invariance of the Arabic BAS-2, 2-item BAS-2SF and 3-item BAS-2SF among community Lebanese Arabic-speaking adults. We hypothesized that: (1) the Arabic full-length and short forms of the BAS-2 would have a good internal consistency; (2) our analyses would endorse a good fit of a unidimensional factor structure and show measurement invariance across gender of all three forms of the scale; (3) an examination of the correlations between BAS-2 and BAS-2SF scores, disordered eating attitudes, functionality appreciation, and BMI would provide evidence of convergent validity; and (4) both Arabic BAS-2SF versions' scores would strongly correlate with the BAS-2 total scores, suggesting that the two short forms evaluate the same body appreciation construct.

## Methods

### Participants

A total of 826 Lebanese citizens and residents enrolled in this study with a mean age of 25.42 years ( $SD=8.44$ ) and 57.9% females. Other characteristics are displayed in Table 1.

## Measures

### **Body appreciation scale- 2**

This 10-item instrument assesses acceptance of one's body, respect and care for one's body, and protection of one's body from unrealistic beauty standards (BAS-2 [11]). Items are rated on a 5-point scale (*never* to *always*). Higher scores on this scale reflect greater body appreciation. Following international guidelines [48], the scale was translated from English into Arabic by a bilingual translator, whose native language is Arabic and who is fluent in English. Next, an expert committee formed by healthcare professionals and a linguistics expert verified the translated Arabic version of the scale. Subsequently, the Arabic version of the scale was back-translated into English by an independent translator who is fluent in Arabic and English. The back-translated measure was returned to the expert committee, who compared both translations and aimed to resolve any inconsistencies between versions. A pilot study was done on 20 persons to make sure all questions are clear [49]. In the present study, the Cronbach's alpha was 0.96. The BAS-2 items in English are reported in Table 1 and the items in Arabic are reported in Additional file 1: Appendix 1.

### **Functionality appreciation scale (FAS)**

The FAS was used to assess how respondents appreciate, respect, and honour their own bodies for what it is capable of doing. The scale is a unidimensional scale, composed of seven items (e.g., "I respect my body for the functions that it performs.") rated on a 5-point scale (*strongly disagree* to *strongly agree*) [50]. Higher scores indicate higher body functionality. The Arabic validated version of the FAS was used in this study [51], which demonstrated good composite reliability, adequate patterns of convergent and criterion-related validity, with 7 items loading into a single factor. In the present sample, the Cronbach's alpha was 0.95.

### **Eating attitude test-7 items**

Participants were asked to complete the Eating Attitudes Test-7 (EAT-7 [52]), which is a shortened version of the Eating Attitude Test-26 (EAT-26 [53]) validated in Arabic [54]. This is a one-factor, seven-item measure of symptoms and concerns characteristic of eating disorders. The Arabic EAT-7 showed excellent reliability and strong evidence of divergent validity [52]. All items are rated on a 6-point scale, ranging from 0 (*never*) to 6 (*always*). The scale is unidimensional, with higher total scores reflecting greater disordered eating attitudes. In the present study, the Cronbach's alpha was 0.90.

### **Demographics**

Participants were asked to provide their demographic details consisting of age, sex, and education level.

### **Body mass index (BMI)**

Self-reported data about height and weight were used to compute BMI in kg/m<sup>2</sup>.

### **Procedures**

Ethics approval for this study was obtained from the Psychiatric Hospital of the Cross ethics committee (approval code: HPC-038-2021). All data were collected via a Google Form link, between December 2021 and April 2022. The project was advertised on social media and included an estimated duration. Inclusion criteria for participation included being of a resident and citizen of Lebanon of adult age. Internet protocol (IP) addresses were examined to ensure that no participant took the survey more than once. After providing digital informed consent, participants were asked to complete the instruments described above, which were presented in a pre-randomised order to control for order effects. The survey was anonymous and participants completed the survey voluntarily and without remuneration.

### **Analytic strategy**

#### **Data treatment**

There were no missing responses in the dataset. To examine the factor structure of the BAS-2, we conducted a Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) on the total sample using the SPSS AMOS v.29 software. A previous study suggested that the minimum sample size to conduct a confirmatory factor analysis ranges from 3 to 20 times the number of the scale's variables [55]. Therefore, we assumed a minimum sample of 200 participants needed to have enough statistical power based on a ratio of 20 participants per one item of the scale, which was exceeded in our sample. Our intention was to test the original model of BAS-2 scores (i.e., a unidimensional model; [11]) and, if divergent, any models extracted from our BAS-2. Parameter estimates were obtained using the maximum likelihood method and fit indices. To check if the model was adequate, several fit indices were calculated: the normed model chi-square ( $\chi^2/df$ ), the Steiger-Lind root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA), standardized root mean square residual (SRMR), the Tucker-Lewis Index (TLI) and the comparative fit index (CFI). Values  $\leq 5$  for  $\chi^2/df$ ,  $\leq 0.05$  for SRMR,  $\leq 0.08$  for RMSEA, and 0.90 for CFI and TLI indicate good fit of the model to the data [56]. Additionally, evidence of convergent validity was assessed in this subsample using the Fornell-Larcker criterion, with average variance extracted

**Table 2** Standardised estimates of factor loadings from the confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) in the total sample

Item	CFA		
	women	Men	Total
1. I respect my body	.80	.87	.84
2. I feel good about my body	.82	.90	.85
3. I feel that my body has at least some good qualities	.78	.83	.81
4. I take a positive attitude towards my body	.87	.88	.88
5. I am attentive to my body's needs	.77	.83	.79
6. I feel love for my body	.86	.85	.86
7. I appreciate the different and unique characteristics of my body	.83	.88	.86
8. My behaviour reveals my positive attitude toward my body; for example, I hold my head high and smile	.83	.89	.86
9. I am comfortable in my body	.85	.86	.86
10. I feel like I am beautiful even if I am different from media images of attractive people (e.g., models, actresses/actors)	.79	.85	.83

(AVE) values of  $\geq 0.50$  considered adequate [57]. Multivariate normality was not verified at first (Bollen-Stine bootstrap  $p=0.002$ ); therefore we performed non-parametric bootstrapping procedure (available in AMOS).

To explore the factor structure of BAS-2-SF (2 and 3 items), we computed a principal-axis EFA with the first split-half subsample using the FACTOR software [58]. We verified all requirements related to item-communality (Worthington and Whittaker, 2006), average item correlations, and item-total correlations [59]. The Kaiser–Meyer–Olkin (KMO) measure of sampling adequacy (which should ideally be  $\geq 0.80$ ) and Bartlett's test of sphericity (which should be significant) ensured the adequacy of our sample [60]. The procedure followed for determining the number of dimensions was the Parallel Analysis (PA) [61], using the Pearson correlation matrix. Weighted Root Mean Square Residual (WRMR) were also calculated to assess the model fit (values  $< 1$  have been recommended to represent good fit [62]). Item retention was based on the recommendation that items with "fair" loadings and above (i.e.,  $\geq 0.33$ ) [63].

#### Gender invariance

To examine gender invariance of BAS-2 scores, we conducted multi-group CFA [64]. Measurement invariance was assessed at the configural, metric, and scalar levels [65].  $\Delta CFI \leq 0.010$  and  $\Delta RMSEA \leq 0.015$  or  $\Delta SRMR \leq 0.010$  were considered as evidence of invariance [64, 66]. We aimed to test for gender differences on latent BAS-2 scores using an independent-samples  $t$ -test only if scalar or partial scalar invariance were established.

#### Reliability and validity testing

Composite reliability in both subsamples was assessed using McDonald's  $\omega$  and Cronbach's  $\alpha$ , with values greater than 0.70 reflecting adequate composite reliability. Normality was verified since the skewness ( $= -0.511$ ) and kurtosis ( $= -0.354$ ) values for the total score varied between  $-1$  and  $+1$  [67]. To assess concurrent validity, we examined bivariate correlations between BAS-2 scores and functionality appreciation and eating attitudes scores using the Pearson test. Based on Cohen (1992) [68], values  $\leq 0.10$  were considered weak,  $\sim 0.30$  were considered moderate, and  $\sim 0.50$  were considered strong correlations.

## Results

#### Confirmatory factor analysis

CFA indicated that fit of the one-factor model of BAS-2 scores was acceptable:  $\chi^2/df = 177.32/35 = 5.07$ ,  $RMSEA = 0.070$  (90% CI 0.060, 0.081),  $SRMR = 0.018$ ,  $CFI = 0.982$ ,  $TLI = 0.976$ . The standardised estimates of factor loadings were all adequate (see Table 2). The convergent validity for this model was adequate, as  $AVE = 0.71$ . Composite reliability of scores was excellent in women ( $\omega = 0.95/\alpha = 0.95$ ), men ( $\omega = 0.97/\alpha = 0.97$ ), and the total sample ( $\omega = 0.96/\alpha = 0.96$ ).

#### Gender invariance

As reported in Table 3, all indices suggested that configural, metric, and scalar invariance was supported across gender. Given these results, we computed an independent-samples  $t$ -test to examine gender differences in BAS-2 scores. The results showed that a higher mean BAS-2 score was seen in women ( $M = 38.11$ ,  $SD = 9.06$ )

**Table 3** Measurement invariance across gender in the total sample

Model	$\chi^2$	df	CFI	RMSEA	SRMR	Model Comparison	$\Delta\chi^2$	$\Delta$ CFI	$\Delta$ RMSEA	$\Delta$ SRMR	$\Delta$ df	p
Configural	240.28	70	.978	.054	.019							
Metric	261.04	79	.976	.053	.021	Configural vs metric	20.76	.002	.001	.002	9	.013
Scalar	334.26	88	.968	.058	.021	Metric vs scalar	73.22	.008	.005	<.001	9	<.001

CFI = Comparative fit index; RMSEA = Steiger-Lind root mean square error of approximation; SRMR = Standardised root mean square residual

**Table 4** Items of the BAS-2 in English and factor loadings derived from the exploratory factor analyses (EFA) in the total sample, women and men

Item	EFA (3-item BAS-2SF)			EFA (2-item BAS-2SF)		
	Women	Men	Total	Women	Men	Total
1	.88	.90	.89			
6	.89	.90	.90	.94	.94	.94
7	.89	.92	.91			
9				.94	.94	.94
McDonald’s omega	.86	.89	.88	.86	.86	.86
Percentage of variance explained	78.36	82.08	80.68	87.39	87.46	87.62

The 3-item BAS-2SF: Total Sample: KMO = 0.743; Bartlett’s X2 = 1317.44; df = 3; p < 0.001)

Men: KMO = 0.744; Bartlett’s X2 = 601.70; df = 3; p < 0.001)

Women: KMO = 0.736; Bartlett’s X2 = 665.07; df = 3; p < 0.001)

The 2-item BAS-2SF: Total sample: KMO = 0.500; Bartlett’s X2 = 687.82; df = 1; p < 0.001)

Men: KMO = 0.500; Bartlett’s X2 = 284.61; df = 1; p < 0.001)

Women: KMO = 0.500; Bartlett’s X2 = 389.63; df = 1; p < 0.001)

**Table 5** Correlations of BAS-2, 3item BAS-2SF and 2-item BAS-2SF with the other measures on the total sample

	10-item BAS-2	3-item BAS-2SF	2-item BAS-2SF
BAS-2	1		
3-item BAS-2SF	.97***	1	
2-item BAS-2SF	.93***	.91***	1
Functionality appreciation	.75***	.76***	.66***
Eating attitudes	-.44***	-.44***	-.37***
Age	-.08*	-.09*	-.06
Body Mass Index	-.07*	-.06	-.12**

\*p < 0.05; \*\*p < 0.01; \*\*\*p < .001

compared to men ( $M = 34.54$ ,  $SD = 10.09$ ),  $t(824) = -5.337$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ,  $d = 0.372$ .

**Validation of the 2- and 3-item BAS-2SF**

The 3 items (items 1, 6 and 7) and the 2 items (items 6 and 9) converged on a one-factor solution, with high loadings in the total sample and in men and women separately. Plus, the reliability values were very good (> 0.8) (Table 4).

**Convergent and criterion-related validity**

To assess the validity of the three BAS-2 scores, we examined bivariate correlations with all other measures included in the present study using the total sample. As can be seen in Table 5, the three BAS-2 scores were significantly and positively correlated with higher functionality appreciation, lower eating attitudes scores (more appropriate eating) and lower BMI (according to the 10- and 2-item BAS-2 scores only). Older age was significantly associated with lower body appreciation (according to the 10- and 3-item BAS-2 scores only).

## Discussion

Despite their peculiarities, Arabic-speaking people have long been neglected in the body image research literature due to a lack of valid and adapted screening tools [41]. While the BAS-2 has been recently validated into the Arabic language [33], a number of gaps remained to be addressed. In this study, we sought to test the factor structure and psychometric properties of the Arabic translation of the BAS-2, and its short forms (the 2-item BAS-2SF and the 3-item BAS-2SF) in a sample of Lebanese Arabic-speaking adults. Our findings provide greater support to the psychometric evidence of the 10-item, 3-item and 2-item BAS-2, preliminarily suggesting the usefulness and feasibility of these three Arabic forms in assessing the body appreciation construct in Arabic-speaking people, at least in the Lebanese context. We believe that the present work would benefit researchers and clinicians by attempting to fill the gaps of the former Arabic validation; and most importantly, contribute to the literature by providing the Arabic short forms of the scale.

Using EFA and CFA, our findings demonstrated that the unidimensional factor structure of the three Arabic forms had a good fit to the data in both genders. This is in agreement with the original English validation of the 10-item BAS-2, where all 10 items loaded onto one factor in both men and women respondents [11]. This is also consistent with previous studies from different countries that demonstrated the goodness-of-fit indices for the original single-factor structure of the BAS-2 (e.g., [11, 12, 15, 16, 22, 23, 28, 29, 31]) as well as of the 3-item and 2-item BAS-2SF [34]. The present findings showed that higher 10-item, 3-item and 2-item BAS-2 scores correlated significantly and strongly with more positive eating attitudes and greater functionality appreciation, and higher 10-item BAS-2 scores correlated positively and weakly with BMI; thus supporting convergent validity of all three forms. Previous research documented similar associations of the BAS-2 with other measures of body image and disordered eating constructs (e.g., disordered eating, body dissatisfaction, appearance evaluation) [2, 10, 69, 70]. In addition, our analyses showed high correlations between the original BAS-2 and the two short-forms, suggesting that the retained two and three items in the shortened versions are informative and relevant to assess the body appreciation construct. We also agree with Tylka et al. suggestion that the Arabic 3-item BAS-2SF is recommended for use when aiming at examining the latent factor structure of the measure, or investigating specific groups (e.g., people with disability, illness, immobility, or pain) [34].

All three Arabic forms of the BAS-2 also evidenced internal consistency and invariance by gender at the

metric, configural, and scalar levels. This is in line with findings from the original BAS-2 and BAS-SF validation studies [11, 34], as well as other prior studies across various linguistic and cultural contexts (e.g., [15, 18, 19, 45]). Furthermore, contrary to expectations based on body image literature [71], we found that Arabic-speaking women in our sample displayed higher body appreciation levels compared to men. While female gender has consistently been identified as a risk factor for body-image dissatisfaction and weight-control behaviours [72–75] and male gender has consistently been found to relate to more favourable body appreciation across cultures [76, 77], research emerging from Arab countries found reversed gender patterns. For instance, Alharballeh and Dodeen [78] found that Emiratis male students were more ashamed and dissatisfied with their physical appearance, and more avoidant of situations in which others can see their bodies, as compared to their female counterparts. There is evidence that Arabs exhibit specific culture-related preferences for “heavier”, “curvier” female bodies [39, 40]. This is plausible particularly knowing that physical activities are culturally less common in Arab women as compared to men [78]. This may result in women being less prone to be involved in intensive physical exercising, more likely to consider themselves normal-weight and accept, in turn, their bodies’ size and shape. These data along with our findings highlight that both males and females face social and cultural pressures of body ideals, though with some gender-related specificities [79], and that men also have body image concerns to a similar extent or even more than women [80–82]; which calls for additional focus on body image issues research involving male samples.

## Study limitations

Our findings have to be carefully interpreted bearing in mind certain limitations. The test–retest reliability of the BAS-2 and BAS-2SF was not examined in the context of the present study, precluding any conclusions regarding scores stability across time. In addition, while we provide support for the validity of these Arabic versions in a community sample of Lebanese adults, future research need to assert their psychometric robustness in clinical samples, and samples from Arab countries others than Lebanon. Cross-cultural research need also to ascertain the invariance of these measures across Arab countries and communities. Another limitation is the self-report nature of all measures used in our study that might compromise the accuracy of responses due to social desirability bias.

## Conclusion

While one's relationship with body image is constantly evolving and changing in the Arab world as a result of the globalization process, research in this field has not followed at the same pace. One major and unique contribution of the present study was the examination of gender invariance and comparison of the body appreciation construct across genders in an Arab context. Another contribution was making shorter forms of the BAS-2 available for Arabic-speaking populations. Due to their brevity and good psychometric properties, the Arabic 3-item and 2-item BAS-2SF are advantageous for assessing body appreciation in Arab clinical and research settings, especially when long questionnaires at multiple time points are needed (e.g., repeated clinical monitoring, longitudinal studies). We thus hope that providing these valid, simple, easy-to-use and low-cost tools will be of substantial benefit to clinicians, and will stimulate more research in this area in the Arab context. Such research may inform strategies aiming at promoting the appreciation and respect of one's body in Arabic-speaking communities worldwide.

## Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40337-023-00885-x>.

**Additional file 1.** Body Appreciation Scale-2.

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank all participants.

## Author contributions

SO and SH designed the study; DM and ASD collected the data, FFR drafted the manuscript; SH carried out the analysis and interpreted the results; all authors reviewed the final manuscript and gave their consent.

## Funding

None.

## Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analyzed during this study are not publicly available due to the restrictions by the ethics committee (data are owned by the Psychiatric Hospital of the Cross). The dataset supporting the conclusions is available upon request to Ms. Rana Nader (rnader@naderlawoffice.com), a member of the ethics committee at the Psychiatric Hospital of the Cross.

## Declarations

### Ethics approval and consent to participate

The Psychiatric Hospital of the Cross Ethics and Research Committee approved this study protocol (approval code: HPC-038-2021). A written informed consent was considered obtained from each participant when submitting the online form. All methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations.

### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

### Competing interests

The authors have nothing to disclose.

## Author details

<sup>1</sup>The Tunisian Center of Early Intervention in Psychosis, Department of Psychiatry "Ibn Omrane", Razi Hospital, 2010 Manouba, Tunisia. <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Medicine of Tunis, Tunis El Manar University, Tunis, Tunisia. <sup>3</sup>School of Medicine and Medical Sciences, Holy Spirit University of Kaslik, P.O. Box 446, Jounieh, Lebanon. <sup>4</sup>College of Pharmacy, Gulf Medical University, Ajman, United Arab Emirates. <sup>5</sup>Department of Biomedical Sciences, School of Arts and Sciences, Lebanese International University, Beirut, Lebanon. <sup>6</sup>School of Arts and Sciences, Social and Education Sciences Department, Lebanese American University, Jbeil, Lebanon. <sup>7</sup>Psychology Department, College of Humanities, Effat University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. <sup>8</sup>Applied Science Research Center, Applied Science Private University, Amman, Jordan. <sup>9</sup>Research Department, Psychiatric Hospital of the Cross, Jal Eddib, Lebanon.

Received: 4 May 2023 Accepted: 9 September 2023

Published online: 18 September 2023

## References

- Grogan S. *Body image: understanding body dissatisfaction in men, women and children*. Milton Park: Routledge; 2021.
- Avalos L, Tylka TL, Wood-Barcalow N. The Body Appreciation Scale: development and psychometric evaluation. *Body Image*. 2005;2(3):285–97.
- Al-Musharaf S, Rogoza R, Mhanna M, Soufia M, Obeid S, Hallit S. Factors of body dissatisfaction among Lebanese adolescents: the indirect effect of self-esteem between mental health and body dissatisfaction. *BMC Pediatr*. 2022;22(1):1–11.
- Haddad C, Zakhour M, Akel M, Honein K, Akiki M, Hallit S, Obeid S. Factors associated with body dissatisfaction among the Lebanese population. *Eating Weight Disorders-Stud Anorexia Bulimia Obesity*. 2019;24:507–19.
- Cook M, Ramseyer Winter V, O'Neill EA. Body appreciation and health care avoidance: a brief report. *Health Soc Work*. 2020;45(1):47–53.
- Davis LL, Fowler SA, Best LA, Both LE. The role of body image in the prediction of life satisfaction and flourishing in men and women. *J Happiness Stud*. 2020;21(2):505–24.
- Gillen MM. Associations between positive body image and indicators of men's and women's mental and physical health. *Body Image*. 2015;13:67–74.
- Tylka TL, Wood-Barcalow NL. What is and what is not positive body image? Conceptual foundations and construct definition. *Body Image*. 2015;14:118–29.
- Tylka TL. *Positive psychology perspectives on body image*. 2011.
- Swami V. Considering positive body image through the lens of culture and minority social identities. *Body positive: understanding and improving body image in science and practice* 2018, 59.
- Tylka TL, Wood-Barcalow NL. The Body Appreciation Scale-2: item refinement and psychometric evaluation. *Body Image*. 2015;12:53–67.
- Ibáñez MA, Chiminazzo JGC, Sicilia Á, Fernandes PT. Examining the psychometric properties of the Body Appreciation Scale-2 in Brazilian adolescents. *Psychol Soc Educ*. 2017;9(3):505–15.
- Lobera IJ, Ríos PB. Spanish version of the Body Appreciation Scale (BAS) for adolescents. *Spanish J Psychol*. 2011;14(1):411–20.
- Escoto Ponce de León MDC, Cervantes-Luna BS, Camacho Ruiz EJ: Cross-validation of the body appreciation scale-2: invariance across sex, body mass index, and age in Mexican adolescents. *Eat Weight Disord*. 2021;26(4):1187–94.
- Kertechian S, Swami V. An examination of the factor structure and sex invariance of a French translation of the Body Appreciation Scale-2 in university students. *Body Image*. 2017;21:26–9.
- Alleva JM, Martijn C, Veldhuis J, Tylka TL. A Dutch translation and validation of the Body Appreciation Scale-2: an investigation with female university students in the Netherlands. *Body Image*. 2016;19:44–8.
- Junqueira ACP, Laus MF, Almeida SS, Costa TMB, Todd J, Swami V. Translation and validation of a Brazilian Portuguese version of the Body Appreciation Scale-2 in Brazilian adults. *Body Image*. 2019;31:160–70.
- Lemoine J, Konradsen H, Jensen AL, Roland-Lévy C, Ny P, Khalaf A, Torres S. Factor structure and psychometric properties of the Body Appreciation Scale-2 among adolescents and young adults in Danish, Portuguese, and Swedish. *Body Image*. 2018;26:1–9.



19. Meneses L, Torres S, Miller KM, Barbosa MR. Extending the use of the Body Appreciation Scale-2 in older adults: a Portuguese validation study. *Body Image*. 2019;29:74–81.
20. Soulliard ZA, Vander Wal JS. Validation of the Body Appreciation Scale-2 and relationships to eating behaviors and health among sexual minorities. *Body Image*. 2019;31:120–30.
21. Argyrides M. The body appreciation scale-2: Translation and validation in the Greek language. 2019.
22. Razmus M, Razmus W. Evaluating the psychometric properties of the Polish version of the Body Appreciation Scale-2. *Body Image*. 2017;23:45–9.
23. Swami V, García AA, Barron D. Factor structure and psychometric properties of a Spanish translation of the Body Appreciation Scale-2 (BAS-2). *Body Image*. 2017;22:13–7.
24. Góngora VC, Cruz Licea V, Mebarak Chams MR, Thornborrow T. Assessing the measurement invariance of a Latin-American Spanish translation of the Body Appreciation Scale-2 in Mexican, Argentinean, and Colombian adolescents. *Body Image*. 2020;32:180–9.
25. Gattario KH, Frisén A. From negative to positive body image: Men's and women's journeys from early adolescence to emerging adulthood. *Body Image*. 2019;28:53–65.
26. Swami V, Tudorel O, Goian C, Barron D, Vintila M. Factor structure and psychometric properties of a Romanian translation of the Body Appreciation Scale-2. *Body Image*. 2017;23:61–8.
27. Ma J, Wang K, Tylka TL. Psychometric properties of a Mandarin Chinese version of the Body Appreciation Scale-2 with residents from Chinese mainland. *Body Image*. 2022;42:110–9.
28. Swami V, Ng S-K. Factor structure and psychometric properties of the Body Appreciation Scale-2 in university students in Hong Kong. *Body Image*. 2015;15:68–71.
29. NAMATAME H, UNO K, SAWAMIYA Y. Development of Japanese version of the body appreciation scale-2. *心理学研究* 2017, 88(4):358–365.
30. Swami V, Khatib NAM, Toh E, Zahari HS, Todd J, Barron D. Factor structure and psychometric properties of a Bahasa Malaysia (Malay) translation of the Body Appreciation Scale-2 (BAS-2). *Body Image*. 2019;28:66–75.
31. Atari M. Factor structure and psychometric properties of the Body Appreciation Scale-2 in Iran. *Body Image*. 2016;18:1–4.
32. Baceviciene M, Jankauskiene R. Associations between body appreciation and disordered eating in a large sample of adolescents. *Nutrients*. 2020;12(3):752.
33. Vally Z, D'Souza CG, Habeeb H, Bensumaidea BM. The factor structure and psychometric properties of an Arabic-translated version of the Body Appreciation Scale-2. *Perspect Psychiatr Care*. 2019;55(3):373–7.
34. Tylka TL, Linardon J, Wood-Barcalow NL, Danielsdóttir S, Fuller-Tyszkiewicz M. Short forms of the Body Appreciation Scale-2 (BAS-2SF): item selection and psychometric evaluation. *Body Image*. 2022;41:308–30.
35. Swami V, Miah J, Noorani N, Taylor D. Is the hijab protective? An investigation of body image and related constructs among British Muslim women. *Br J Psychol*. 2014;105(3):352–63.
36. Tiggemann M. Considerations of positive body image across various social identities and special populations. *Body Image*. 2015;14:168–76.
37. Viernes N, Zaidan ZA, Dorvlo AS, Kayano M, Yoishiuchi K, Kumano H, Kuboki T, Al-Adawi S. Tendency toward deliberate food restriction, fear of fatness and somatic attribution in cross-cultural samples. *Eat Behav*. 2007;8(3):407–17.
38. Razmus M, Razmus W, Tylka TL, Jović M, Jović M, Namatame H. Cross-cultural measurement invariance of the Body Appreciation Scale-2 across five countries. *Body Image*. 2020;34:270–6.
39. Nasser M. Culture and weight consciousness. Milton Park: Routledge; 2003.
40. Khaled SM, Shockley B, Qutteina Y, Kimmel L, Le KT. Testing Western media icons influence on Arab Women's body size and shape ideals: an experimental approach. *Soc Sci*. 2018;7(9):142.
41. Melisse B, de Beurs E, van Furth EF. Eating disorders in the Arab world: a literature review. *J Eat Disord*. 2020;8(1):59.
42. Thomas J, Khan S, Abdulrahman AA. Eating attitudes and body image concerns among female university students in the United Arab Emirates. *Appetite*. 2010;54(3):595–8.
43. Zeeni N, Gharibeh N, Katsounari I. The influence of sociocultural factors on the eating attitudes of Lebanese and Cypriot students: a cross-cultural study. *J Hum Nutr Diet*. 2013;26:45–52.
44. Ebrahim M, Alkazemi D, Zafar TA, Kubow S. Disordered eating attitudes correlate with body dissatisfaction among Kuwaiti male college students. *J Eat Disord*. 2019;7(1):1–13.
45. Swami V, Ng S-K, Barron D. Translation and psychometric evaluation of a Standard Chinese version of the Body Appreciation Scale-2. *Body Image*. 2016;18:23–6.
46. Sewilam AM, Watson AM, Kassem AM, Clifton S, McDonald MC, Lipski R, Deshpande S, Mansour H, Nimgaonkar VL. Suggested avenues to reduce the stigma of mental illness in the Middle East. *Int J Soc Psychiatry*. 2015;61(2):111–20.
47. Obermeyer CM. Adolescents in Arab countries: health statistics and social context. *DIFI Family Res Proc*. 2015;2015(1):1.
48. Beaton DE, Bombardier C, Guillemin F, Ferraz MB. Guidelines for the process of cross-cultural adaptation of self-report measures. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2000;25(24):3186–91.
49. Fekih-Romdhane F, Azzi V, Malaeb D, Sarray El Dine A, Obeid S, Hallit S. Psychometric properties of an Arabic translation of the Inflexible Eating Questionnaire (IEQ) in a non-clinical sample of adults. *J Eat Disord*. 2023;11(1):115.
50. Alleva JM, Tylka TL, Van Diest AMK. The functionality appreciation scale (FAS): development and psychometric evaluation in US community women and men. *Body Image*. 2017;23:28–44.
51. Swami V, Todd J, Azzi V, Malaeb D, El Dine AS, Obeid S, Hallit S. Psychometric properties of an Arabic translation of the Functionality Appreciation Scale (FAS) in Lebanese adults. *Body Image*. 2022;42:361–9.
52. Fekih-Romdhane F, Obeid S, Malaeb D, Hallit R, Hallit S. Validation of a shortened version of the Eating Attitude Test (EAT-7) in the Arabic language. *J Eat Disord*. 2022;10(1):127.
53. Garner DM, Olmsted MP, Bohr Y, Garfinkel PE. The eating attitudes test: psychometric features and clinical correlates. *Psychol Med*. 1982;12(4):871–8.
54. Haddad C, Khoury C, Salameh P, Sacre H, Hallit R, Kheir N, Obeid S, Hallit S. Validation of the Arabic version of the Eating Attitude Test in Lebanon: a population study. *Public Health Nutr*. 2021;24(13):4132–43.
55. Mundfrom DJ, Shaw DG, Ke TL. Minimum sample size recommendations for conducting factor analyses. *Int J Test*. 2005;5(2):159–68.
56. Hu L, Bentler PM. Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Struct Equ Modeling*. 1999;6(1):1–55.
57. Malhotra N, Dash S. *Marketing Research: An Applied Orientation*. Delhi: Pearson; 2011.
58. Lorenzo-Seva U, Ferrando PJ. FACTOR: a computer program to fit the exploratory factor analysis model. *Behav Res Methods*. 2006;38(1):88–91.
59. Clark L, Watson D. Construct validity: basic issues in objective scale development. *Psychol Meas*. 1995;28:61–75.
60. Hair JF. *Multivariate data analysis*. 2009.
61. Timmerman ME, Lorenzo-Seva U. Dimensionality assessment of ordered polytomous items with parallel analysis. *Psychol Methods*. 2011;16(2):209.
62. Yu CY. Evaluation of model fit indices for latent variable models with categorical and continuous outcomes. In: Paper presented at the annual conference of the American Educational Research Association, April 4, 2002, New Orleans: 2002; 2002.
63. Tabachnick B, Fidell L. *Using multivariate statistics*. 7th ed. New York: Pearson Publishers; 2019.
64. Chen FF. Sensitivity of goodness of fit indexes to lack of measurement invariance. *Struct Equ Modeling*. 2007;14(3):464–504.
65. Vadenberg R, Lance C. A review and synthesis of the measurement invariance literature: suggestions, practices, and recommendations for organizational research. *Organ Res Methods*. 2000;3:4–70.
66. Cheung GW, Rensvold RB. Evaluating goodness-of-fit indexes for testing measurement invariance. *Struct Equ Model*. 2002;9(2):233–55.
67. Hair JF Jr, Sarstedt M, Ringle CM, Gudergan SP. *Advanced issues in partial least squares structural equation modeling*. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications; 2017.
68. Cohen J. Quantitative methods in psychology: a power primer. In: *Psychological Bulletin*: 1992: Citeseer; 1992.
69. Swami V, Hwang C-S, Jung J. Factor structure and correlates of the acceptance of cosmetic surgery scale among South Korean university students. *Aesthetic Surg J*. 2012;32(2):220–9.

70. Tylka TL, Kroon Van Diest AM: the intuitive eating scale–2: item refinement and psychometric evaluation with college women and men. *J Couns Psychol.* 2013;60(1):137.
71. He J, Sun S, Zickgraf HF, Lin Z, Fan X. Meta-analysis of gender differences in body appreciation. *Body Image.* 2020;33:90–100.
72. Malinauskas BM, Raedeke TD, Aeby VG, Smith JL, Dallas MB. Dieting practices, weight perceptions, and body composition: a comparison of normal weight, overweight, and obese college females. *Nutr J.* 2006;5(1):1–8.
73. Kruger J, Galuska DA, Serdula MK, Jones DA. Attempting to lose weight: specific practices among US adults. *Am J Prev Med.* 2004;26(5):402–6.
74. Fekih-Romdhane F, Daher-Nashif S, Alhuwailah AH, Al Gahtani HMS, Hubail SA, Shuwiekh HAM, Khudhair MF, Alhaj OA, Bragazzi NL, Jahrami H: The prevalence of feeding and eating disorders symptomology in medical students: an updated systematic review, meta-analysis, and meta-regression. *Eating and Weight Disorders-Studies on Anorexia, Bulimia and Obesity* 2022:1–20.
75. Alhaj OA, Fekih-Romdhane F, Sweidan DH, Saif Z, Khudhair MF, Ghazzawi H, Nadar MS, Alhajeri SS, Levine MP, Jahrami H. The prevalence and risk factors of screen-based disordered eating among university students: a global systematic review, meta-analysis, and meta-regression. *Eating Weight Disorders-Stud Anorexia Bulimia Obesity* 2022:1–29.
76. Tylka TL. Evidence for the Body Appreciation Scale's measurement equivalence/invariance between US college women and men. *Body Image.* 2013;10(3):415–8.
77. Swami V, Stieger S, Haubner T, Voracek M. German translation and psychometric evaluation of the Body Appreciation Scale. *Body Image.* 2008;5(1):122–7.
78. Alharballeh S, Dodeen H. Prevalence of body image dissatisfaction among youth in the United Arab Emirates: gender, age, and body mass index differences. *Curr Psychol* 2021:1–10.
79. Murray SB, Griffiths S, Mond JM. Evolving eating disorder psychopathology: conceptualising muscularity-oriented disordered eating. *Br J Psychiatry.* 2016;208(5):414–5.
80. Dakanalis A, Zanetti AM, Riva G, Colmegna F, Volpato C, Madeddu F, Clerici M. Male body dissatisfaction and eating disorder symptomatology: moderating variables among men. *J Health Psychol.* 2015;20(1):80–90.
81. Masuda A, Hill ML, Tully EC, Garcia SE. The role of disordered eating cognition and body image flexibility in disordered eating behavior in college men. *J Contextual Behav Sci.* 2015;4(1):12–20.
82. Orellana L, Grunert KG, Sepúlveda J, Lobos G, Denegri M, Miranda H, Adasme-Berrios C, Mora M, Etchebarne S, Salinas-Oñate N. Dietary restraint and self-discrepancy in male university students. *Eat Behav.* 2016;21:123–8.

## Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more [biomedcentral.com/submissions](https://biomedcentral.com/submissions)

